## Technical Note

## Measurement of emitted noise from SAFEFLOOR ApS MUGA COURT $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ with fencing modules of $100 \mathrm{~cm} \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Performed for Safefloor ApS

TC-100944
Project no.: 1100977
Page 1 of 12

10 May 2016

## DELTA

Venlighedsvej 4 2970 Hørsholm

Denmark

Tel. +4572194000
Fax +45 72194001
www.delta.dk
VAT No. 12275110

## Title

Measurement of emitted noise from SAFEFLOOR ApS MUGA COURT $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ with fencing modules of $100 \mathrm{~cm} \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}$

Journal no.
TC-100944

Project no.
I100977

Our ref.
LSS/HSO/ilk

## Client

Safefloor PpS
Bjødstrupvej 10
8410 Rønde
Denmark

## Client ref.

Christian Svendsen

## Laboratory

DELTA
Agro Food Park 13
8200 Aarhus N
Denmark

## Test conditions

Guideline IS-1693 Helsedirektoratet "Veileder for støyvurdering ved etablering av nærmiljøanlegg" 2006, rev. 4/2009

## Summary

The maximum A-weighted noise level $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{AFmax}}$ for a leather football kicked at a SAFEFLOOR ApS MUGA COURT $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ has been measured, for ball velocities between 30 and $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. At a ball velocity of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, the $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{AFmax}}$ is 75 dB .

## Remark

The test results apply only to the tested objects.
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## 1. Test object

The test object is a SAFEFLOOR ApS MUGA COURT $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ with fencing modules of $100 \mathrm{~cm} \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}$.

SAFEFLOOR ApS Multi Use Games Area (MUGA) uses rubber compound planks as fencing. The rubber used in the planks is mostly recycled rubber.

Standard fencing modules are H 100 xW 150 cm and consist of 6 rubber planks, each weighing 12 kg . The frame is constructed of hot dipped galvanized steel, with a density of $7850 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

The floor in the court was made of SAFEFLOOR SFCB rubber surfacing. See photos in Annex 2.

## 2. Acoustic environment

The measurement was carried out in open air with approx. 30 m between the microphone and any acoustically reflecting surface. The ground surface between the fence and the microphone was almost flat, 20 \% paved and $80 \%$ grass. See photos in Annex 2.

## 3. Measurement setup

The measurements were carried out in accordance with IS-1693. 1 microphone was positioned at a height of $1.5 \mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{~m}$ behind the chosen fence. A small microphone wind screen was used. See photos in Annex 2.

The ball was kicked inside of the fence from a distance of 5 m (marked on the ground surface with duct tape) perpendicular to the fence. The ball was aimed for the centre of the fence - defined as the area between the posts. Hits outside the desired area were not included in the analysis.

In series of 10-20 kicks the sound was recorded for offsite analysis. The recordings were monitored and the impulse sound of kicks to the ball and when the ball hits the fence were marked and elapsed time noted to be used for calculation of ball velocity.

A Select Fifa football with a weight of 430 grams was used. The pressure of the ball was (by Safefloor ApS) estimated to approx. 1 bar.

Safefloor ApS was responsible for shooting the ball. The aim was ball velocities 30$110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ as evenly distributed as possible, see Annex 1 . In total 82 shots were included in the analysis.

## 4. Meteorological conditions

Weather:
Clouded (~3/8)
Temperature:
$8-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Wind velocity:
$1-3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

## 5. Instrumentation

See Annex 3.

## 6. Results

For calculating the velocity of the ball the following equation was used (from IS-1693):

$$
v=\frac{D \times 3.6}{T+\frac{D}{330}}
$$

$\mathrm{v}=$ Velocity of the ball [km/h]
$\mathrm{D}=$ Distance from where the foot kicks the ball and to the fence [m]
$\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{Time}$ difference between the foot kicks the ball and to the ball hits the fence [s]
The elapsed time was noted with a resolution of 2 ms resulting in a velocity resolution of $0.14 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Maximum A-weighted noise level, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{AFmax}}$, for each of the 82 shots are shown as a scatterplot in Annex 1. For all results included in the analysis, there were more than 10 dB to the background noise. Applying linear regression (least square method) for the data, a line (shown in red) can be drawn representing the maximum A-weighted noise level, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{AFmax}}$ for different ball velocities.

The line can be described by the following equation:

$$
y=0.287 x+52.351
$$

At a ball velocity of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, the maximum A-weighted noise level, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{AFmax}}$ at 10 m distance is 75.3 dB .

In the table below is shown the average $1 / 1$-octave, A -weighted spectrum corresponding to $L_{p, A F \max }=75.3 \mathrm{~dB}$ at a ball velocity of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ :

| $[\mathrm{Hz}]$ | 32 | 63 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | 2000 | 4000 | 8000 | 16000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[\mathrm{~dB}]$ | 34.0 | 55.2 | 67.3 | 65.3 | 66.8 | 70.4 | 67.5 | 64.1 | 58.5 | 43.8 |

## Annex 1 - Measurement results

Date:
Measuring period:
Site:

22 March 2016
$10.00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to $12.00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.
Multi Use Games Area at Feldballe, Djursland, Denmark


Figure 1
Maximum A-weighted noise level, $L_{p, A F m a x}$, shown as a function of ball velocity.


Figure 2
Number of shots per ball velocity.

## Annex 2 - Measurement environment and measurement object



Figure 3
Photo of the fence and the microphone (shown from east).


Figure 4
Photo of the fence (second full fence from the left was used) and the microphone (shown from south).


Figure 5
Photo of the fence and microphone (shown from west).


Figure 6
Photo of the microphone and the fence behind the microphone (shown from north).


Figure 7
Photo of the used football.


Figure 8
Close-up photo of one of the rubber planks (from Safefloor ApS).


Figure 9
Close-up photo of one of the rubber planks (from Safefloor ApS).

## Annex 3 - Instrumentation

| No. | Equipment | Make | Type | Calibration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Previous | Next |
| 09L037 | Preamplifier | G.R.A.S. | 26CF | 05 May 2015 | May 2016 |
| 06L061 | $1 / 2$ " Microphone | G.R.A.S | 40AE | 07 Apr. 2016 | Apr. 2017 |
| 02L023 | Calibrator | Brüel \& Kjær | 4231 | 03 Jan. 2016 | Jul. 2016 |
| 14L006 | Data aq. Card | National Instruments | N19233 | 22 Jan. 2015 | Jan. 2017 |

For recording and analysis the programmes noiseLAB 3.0 and noiseLAB batch processor 3.1.1.4 from DELTA were used.

All instruments and programmes are calibrated regularly.

